

STAKEHOLDER INPUT FOR PROTECTIONS

Framework Logic

Concern → Evidence → Risk Pathway → Requested Protection → Trigger → Accountability → Benefit

Purpose

The purpose of this form is to gather specific, evidence-based input to help shape potential community protections, monitoring commitments, and accountability measures related to the Hermosa Project.

This is not a general opinion form. Submissions should identify a specific concern, explain the basis for that concern, describe the potential pathway of impact, and recommend a practical protection, monitoring measure, trigger, or accountability provision that could be considered for inclusion in a Community Protections and Benefits Agreement.

Submissions should focus on practical, measurable, and implementable protections or benefits that can be monitored, verified, and incorporated into a formal agreement.

Section 1: Stakeholder Information

Name: _____

Organization / Affiliation: _____

Community / Area Represented: _____

Role or Interest: _____

Contact Information: _____

Do you live, work, own property, operate a business, or provide services in the affected area?

- Yes No

Section 2: Type of Input

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Water | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation / tourism / rural character |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic / roads | <input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring / transparency / data access |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Emergency response / public safety | <input type="checkbox"/> Community investment / protections |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Public health | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Section 3: Specific Concern or Opportunity

What specific concern, risk, gap, or opportunity are you identifying?

Describe the issue in concrete terms. Identify what could happen, where, to whom, and under what conditions.

Section 4: Basis for the Concern

- Personal observation
- Professional expertise
- Scientific study
- Agency document
- FEIS / DEIS / permit document
- Local knowledge
- Past experience with mining or industrial activity
- Economic analysis
- Public health data
- Other: _____

Please summarize the evidence or experience supporting your input.

Section 5: Impact Pathway

Use this structure:

Source → Pathway → Receptor → Consequence

Example: Mine-related dust → wind transport during dry conditions → nearby residents / schools / workers → increased exposure to particulate matter or metals.

Describe the impact pathway below:

Section 6: Requested Protection

- Monitoring requirement
- Independent third-party review
- Public reporting / dashboard
- Baseline study
- Trigger level
- Corrective action requirement
- Community protection program
- Emergency response commitment
- Dispute resolution process / recourse
- Periodic review / adaptive management
- Other: _____

Requested language or concept:

South32 should commit to...



Section 7: Trigger and Response

A meaningful protection should not simply say "monitor." It should identify what happens if monitoring shows a problem.

- Numeric threshold
- Exceedance of permit limit
- Trend over time
- Complaint pattern
- Independent expert finding
- Failure to report data
- Unplanned release / incident
- Change in operations
- Other: _____

Recommended Trigger: _____

Required response if triggered: _____

Timeline for response: _____

Who verifies completion? _____

Section 8: Accountability

- Independent technical expert
- Community oversight committee
- Public reporting
- Annual audit
- Third-party sampling
- Dispute resolution process
- Corrective action plan
- Financial assurance / dedicated fund
- Other: _____

Section 9: Community Support or Offset

If the impact cannot be fully avoided, what community protection, mitigation, or offset should be considered?

- Water resilience investment
- Emergency response funding
- Road improvements
- Health monitoring
- Recreation / open space protection
- Community data dashboard
- Long-term stewardship fund(s)

Section 10: Priority Rating

- High — should be included in the CPBA
- Medium — could be considered
- Low — general suggestion

Why? _____

Section 11: Defining an Effective Protection

- Specific
- Measurable
- Can be clearly defined in an agreement
- Technically feasible
- Tied to a real project impact
- Capable of being monitored
- Capable of being enforced
- Supported by evidence or local knowledge

Section 12: Final CPBA Recommendation *Complete this sentence:*

To protect the community, the CPBA should consider including a commitment from South32 to:

_____, measured by _____, triggered when _____, verified by _____, and reported to the public _____.

Attachments, supporting documentation, maps, photographs, technical studies, or referenced materials may be submitted with this form.